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Abstract. Automorphisms of a Weyl-type non-associative subalgebra of $\overline{W N_{n, m, s}}$ were studied in [2], [3], [4], [12], [13]. There are various papers on the automorphism groups of an associative algebra, a Lie algebra, and a non-associative algebra [4], [5], [11]. It seems that there is no paper on automorphisms of a semi-Lie algebra in the literature. A degree on an algebra is used to find the derivation group of an algebra in the paper [13]. We find the automorphism groups Autonon $\left(\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}\right)$ and Auto ${ }_{\text {semi-Lie }}\left(\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}{ }_{[,]}\right)$ of the non-associative algebra $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}{ }_{2}$ and the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{[,]}$respectively in this paper. The results of an algebra in this paper do not depend on its standard basis.
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## 1. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all non-negative integers and $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero. The non-associative algebra $\overline{W N_{0,1,0}}$ is spanned

[^0]by the standard basis $\left\{x^{i} \partial^{n} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ with the usual addition and the multiplication is defined as follows: for any basis elements $x^{i} \partial^{n}, x^{j} \partial^{n} \in \overline{W N}_{0,1,0}{ }_{n}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i} \partial^{n} * x^{j} \partial^{n}=x^{i}\left(\partial^{n}\left(x^{j}\right)\right) \partial^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

by extending linearly on $\overline{W N_{0,1,0}} n$ [1], [6], [13], [14]. ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n}$ is a subalgebra of $\overline{W N_{0,1,0}}{ }_{n}$ spanned by $\left\{x^{i} \partial^{n} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Similarly, we define the non-associative algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1} 1,2$ spanned by $\left\{x^{i} \partial, x^{j} \partial^{2} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ with the similar multiplication (1) (please refer to the papers [9], [15] for these kinds of non-associative algebras.) For any element $x \in{\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n}, l \in \overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n}$ is a right (multiplicative) identity of $x$, if $x * l=x$ holds. The semi-Lie algebra ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n[,]}$ is spanned by the standard basis $\left\{x^{i} \partial^{n} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ with the commutator of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n}$ and the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1_{1,2}}$ c, can be defined as ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n[,]}$. We shall define the degree of $x^{i} \partial^{n}$ as $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{i} \partial^{n}\right)=i$ for $x^{i} \partial^{n} \in{\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n[,]}$. Thus for any element $l$ of $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}{ }_{n[,]}$, we can define $\operatorname{deg}(l)$ as the highest degree of the non-zero basis term of $l$ [13]. We can define the non-associative algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}{ }_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ which contains $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n}$ with the standard basis $\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \partial^{n_{r}} \mid i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, r=1,2\right\}$ with the usual addition and the multiplication as $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n}$. So we can define the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}^{n_{1}, n_{2}[,]}$ as $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}^{n[,]}$. Throughout this paper, Aut $\operatorname{semi-Lie}\left(\overline{W N}_{0,0,1_{n[,]}}\right)$ denotes the set of all semi-Lie algebra automorphisms of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n[,]}$. A Lie (resp. semi-Lie) algebra is $m$-abelian if the dimension of its maximal finite dimensional abelian subalgebra is $m$ [13]. A Lie algebra is 1-abelian if and only if it is self-centralizing [13], [7], [8]. Note that $m$-abelian is auto-invariant.

## 2. Automorphisms of ${\bar{W} N_{0,0,1}}_{n}$ and ${\bar{W} N_{0,0,1}}_{n[,]}$

It is well known that the algebras ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n}$ and ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n[,]}$ are simple [4], [12], [13]. Since every non-zero endomorphism of them is a monomorphism, we can find the following results.

Lemma 2.1. For any $\theta \in A u t_{n o n}\left(\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}\right)$ and any basis element $x^{q} \partial^{2}$ of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}, \theta\left(x^{q} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3}^{-q+2}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{q} \partial^{2}$ holds, where $c_{3} \in \mathbb{F}^{\bullet}$ and $c_{4} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let $\theta$ be an automorphism of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$. Since the right annihilator of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1_{2}}}$ is spanned by $\partial^{2}$ and $x \partial^{2}$, and $\frac{x^{2}}{2} \partial^{2}$ is a right identity of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left(\partial^{2}\right)=c_{1} x \partial^{2}+c_{2} \partial^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& \theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3} x \partial^{2}+c_{4} \partial^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)=x^{2} \partial^{2}+c_{5} x \partial^{2}+c_{6} \partial^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{6} \in \mathbb{F}$. We have the following two cases $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{1} \neq 0$.
Case I. We assume that $c_{1} \neq 0$ in (1). By $\theta\left(\partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right)$, we have $\theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{7} x^{2} \partial^{2}+c_{8} x \partial^{2}+c_{9} \partial^{2}$, where $c_{7}, c_{8}, c_{9} \in \mathbb{F}$. By $\theta\left(x \partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=$ $6 \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right) * \theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right)\right)=1, \operatorname{deg}\left(\theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)\right)=1$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)\right)=2$, the equality (5) does not hold. This contradiction shows that $c_{1}=0$.

Case II. Now, we assume that $c_{1}=0$ in (1). We put the equalities (2) and (3) hold. It is easy to prove that $c_{3} \neq 0$. $\operatorname{By} \theta\left(x \partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=\frac{x^{3}}{c_{3}} \partial^{2}+c_{10} x^{2} \partial^{2}+c_{11} x \partial^{2}+c_{12} \partial^{2}
$$

where $c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12} \in \mathbb{F}$. By $\theta\left(\partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right), c_{2}=c_{3}^{2}$ and $c_{10}=\frac{3 c_{4}}{c_{3}^{2}}$, that is,

$$
\theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=\frac{x^{3}}{c_{3}} \partial^{2}+\frac{3 c_{4}}{c_{3}^{2}} x^{2} \partial^{2}+c_{11} x \partial^{2}+c_{12} \partial^{2}
$$

By $\theta\left(x \partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)$, we also have $c_{5}=\frac{2 c_{4}}{c_{3}}$ and $c_{6}=\frac{c_{4}^{2}}{c_{3}^{2}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)=x^{2} \partial^{2}+\frac{2 c_{4}}{c_{3}} x \partial^{2}+\frac{c_{4}^{2}}{c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}=\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{2} \partial^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2} * x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=6 \theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)$, we have $c_{11}=\frac{3 c_{4}^{2}}{c_{3}^{3}}$ and $c_{12}=\frac{c_{4}^{3}}{c_{3}^{4}}$, i.e.,

$$
\theta\left(x^{3} \partial^{2}\right)=\frac{x^{3}}{c_{3}} \partial^{2}+\frac{3 c_{4}}{c_{3}^{2}} x^{2} \partial^{2}+\frac{3 c_{4}^{2}}{c_{3}^{3}} x \partial^{2}+\frac{c_{4}^{3}}{c_{3}^{4}} \partial^{2}=c_{3}^{-1}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{3} \partial^{2}
$$

By (6) and $\theta\left(\partial^{2} * x^{4} \partial^{2}\right)=12 \theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\theta\left(x^{4} \partial^{2}\right)=\frac{x^{4}}{c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+\frac{6 c_{5} x^{3}}{3 c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+\frac{6 c_{6} x^{2}}{c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+c_{13} x \partial^{2}+c_{14} \partial^{2}
$$

where $c_{13}, c_{14} \in \mathbb{F}$. By $\theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2} * x^{4} \partial^{2}\right)=12 \theta\left(x^{4} \partial^{2}\right)$, we have $c_{13}=\frac{4 c_{4}^{3}}{c_{3}^{5}}$ and $c_{14}=\frac{c_{4}^{4}}{c_{3}^{5}}$, that is,

$$
\theta\left(x^{4} \partial^{2}\right)=\frac{x^{4}}{c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+\frac{6 c_{5} x^{3}}{3 c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+\frac{6 c_{6} x^{2}}{c_{3}^{2}} \partial^{2}+\frac{4 c_{4}^{3}}{c_{3}^{5}} x \partial^{2}+\frac{c_{4}^{4}}{c_{3}^{6}} \partial^{2}=c_{3}^{-2}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{4} \partial^{2}
$$

Thus by induction on $p \in \mathbb{N}$ of $x^{p} \partial^{2}$, we can assume that $\theta\left(x^{p} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3}^{-p+2}(x+$ $\left.\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{p} \partial^{2}$ holds. Since the right annihilator of $\partial^{2}$ is spanned by $\left\{\partial^{2}, x \partial^{2}\right\}$ and
the fact that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta\left(x^{2} \partial^{2} * x^{p+1} \partial^{2}\right)\right)=p+1, \operatorname{deg}\left(\partial^{2} * l_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{1}\right)-2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(x \partial^{2} * l_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(l_{1}\right)-1$ we can prove that $\theta\left(x^{p+1} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3}^{-p+1}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{p+1} \partial^{2}$ by appropriate inductions where $l_{1}, l_{2} \in \overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Note 2.2. For any basis element $x^{p} \partial^{2}$ of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1_{2}}}$ (resp. $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{2[,]}$ ), $c_{3} \in \mathbb{F} \bullet$ and $c_{4} \in \mathbb{F}$, we can define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\theta_{c_{3}, c_{4}}$ of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$ (resp. $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{2[,]}$ ) as follows:

$$
\theta_{c_{3}, c_{4}}\left(x^{p} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3}^{-p+2}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{p} \partial^{2}
$$

Then $\theta$ can be linearly extended to a non-associative (resp. semi-Lie) algebra automorphism of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$ (resp. $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{[,]}$).

Proposition 2.3. The non-associative algebra automorphism group
Aut ${ }_{n o n}\left(\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}\right)$ of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}{ }_{2}$ is generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, c_{4}}$ which is defined in Note 2.2 with the appropriate constants in Note 2.2.

Proof. The proof of this Proposition is straightforward by Lemma 2.1, hence we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.4. The automorphism group Aut ${ }_{n o n}\left({\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}}\right)$ of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}{ }_{2}$ is generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, c_{4}}$ which is defined in the above Note with the appropriate scalars in the Note.

Proof. The right annihilator of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$ is spanned by $\left\{\partial^{2}, x^{2} \partial^{2}\right\}$ which is autoinvariant. For any $l \in \overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$, by the facts that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{i} \partial^{2} * l\right)=\operatorname{deg}(l)+i-2$, $\frac{x^{2}}{2!} \partial^{2}$ is a right identity of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{2} \partial^{2} * l\right)=\operatorname{deg}(l)$, we can prove the similar results of Lemma 2.1 for $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$. This completes the proof of the Theorem by Note 2.2.

Theorem 2.5. The non-associative algebra automorphism group
Aut ${ }_{n o n}\left({\overline{W N_{0,1,0}}}_{2}\right)$ of $\overline{W N_{0,1,0}} 2$ is generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, 0}$ which is defined in Note 2.2 with the constants in Note 2.2. For $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then the non-



Proof. Since the right annihilator of $\overline{W N_{0,1,0_{2}}}$ is spanned by $\left\{x^{j} \partial^{2} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2\right\}$ and by $\theta\left(x \partial^{2} * \frac{x^{2}}{2!} \partial^{2}\right)=\theta\left(x \partial^{2}\right)$, we can prove the similar results in Lemma 1 with $c_{4}=0$. Thus, Aut non $\left(\overline{W N_{0,1,0}}\right)$ is generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, 0}$ in Note 2.2. The
remaining results of the Theorem is obvious. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

We note that the automorphism group $A u t_{n o n}\left({\overline{W N_{0,1,0}}}_{n}\right)$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group $A u t_{n o n}\left(\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 2.6. The semi-Lie algebra $\left(\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{[,]}\right)$is 2 -abelian and its finite dimensional maximal subalgebra $<\partial^{2}, x \partial^{2}>$ spanned by $\partial^{2}$ and $x \partial^{2}$ is auto-invariant.

Proof. The proof of Lemma is straightforward by the fact that the algebra has the well defined order, and hence the proof is omitted.
 ${\overline{W N} N_{0,0,1}}_{[[,]}, \theta\left(x^{q} \partial^{2}\right)=c_{3}^{-q+2}\left(x+\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}}\right)^{q} \partial^{2}$ holds, where $c_{3} \in \mathbb{F} \bullet$ and $c_{4} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Since the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{2[,]}$ is 2 -abelian, $\frac{x^{2}}{2} \partial^{2}$ is ad-diagonal with respect to its standard basis, and every non-associative algebra automorphism of the non-associative algebra $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}$ is a semi-Lie algebra automorphism of $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{2[,]}$, the similar results of Lemma 2.1 holds for the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}^{2}}$. By Note 2.2, this completes the proof of the Lemma.
 generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, c_{4}}$ which is defined in Notes with the constants in the Notes.

Proof. Since $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}^{2[,]}$ is 2-abelian, the proof of the Theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, and is hence omitted.
 generated by $\theta_{c_{3}, 0}$ which is defined in Notes with the constants in the Notes.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.8, the proof of the Corollary is straightforward, and is hence omitted.

Proposition 2.10. If $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then the non-associative algebra ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n_{1}}$ is not isomorphic to the non-associative algebra ${\overline{W N} N_{0,0,1}}_{n_{2}}$ as non-associative algebras.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $n_{1}>n_{2}$. If $\theta$ is an isomorphism from ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n_{1}}$ to ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n_{2}}$, then there is no pre-image of $\partial^{n_{2}}$ in
${\overline{W N} N_{0,0,1}}_{n_{1}}$. This contradiction shows that there is no isomorphism between them.

Actually, if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then there is no non-zero non-associative algebra homomorphism from $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}} n_{n_{1}}$ to the non-associative algebra ${\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}}_{n_{2}}$. Similar proof of Proposition 2.10, if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then there is no semi-Lie algebra isomorphism from $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}{ }_{n_{1}[]}$ to $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}} n_{n_{2}[]}$. The semi-Lie algebras $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}{ }_{n_{1}[,]}$ and $\overline{W N_{0,0,1}}{ }_{n_{2}[,]}$ are simple. Thus if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then it is easy to prove that there is no non-zero homomorphism from ${\overline{W N} N_{0,0,1}}_{n_{1}[,]}$ to $\overline{W N}_{0,0,1}{ }_{n_{2}[,]}$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $L_{1}$ be a $m_{1}$-abelian Lie (resp. semi-Lie) algebra and $L_{2}$ be a $m_{2}$-abelian Lie (resp. semi-Lie) algebra. If $m_{1} \neq m_{2}$, then $L_{1}$ is not isomorphic to $L_{2}$ as Lie (resp. semi-Lie) algebras.

Proof. The proof of the Theorem is standard, so we omit the proof.

Proposition 2.12. If $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then there is no non-zero non-associative (resp. semi-Lie) endomorphism $\theta$ of $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}{ }_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ (resp. $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}{ }_{n_{1}, n_{2}[,]}$ ) such that $\theta\left(\partial_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)=c \partial_{2}^{n_{2}}$, where $c$ is a non-zero scalar.

Proof. If there is a non-zero endomorphism between them, then we can derive a contradiction easily. We omit the proof of the Proposition.

Proposition 2.13. The non-associative algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}{ }_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ has a subalgebra spanned by $\frac{x_{1}^{n_{1}}}{n_{1}!} \partial_{1}^{n_{1}}$, $\frac{x_{1}^{n_{1}}}{n_{1}!} \partial_{2}^{n_{2}}, \frac{x_{2}^{n_{2}}}{n_{2}!} \partial_{1}^{n_{1}}$, and $\frac{x_{2}^{n_{2}}}{n_{2}!} \partial_{2}^{n_{2}}$, which is isomorphic to the matrix ring $M_{2}(\mathbf{F})$. Thus the semi-Lie algebra $\overline{W N}_{0,0,2}{ }_{n_{1}, n_{2}[,]}$ has a Lie subalgebra which is isomorphic to $s l_{2}(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. The proof of this Proposition is straightforward, and we hence omit the details.
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